

Animate pronoun attraction in Vera'a three-participant constructions

Stefan Schnell – Kiel University

Vera'a (Austronesian, Oceanic) has two types of construction expressing three-participant events that involve an AGENT, THEME/PATIENT and GOAL/RECIPIENT/BENEFICIARY: in the indirective construction (cf. Malchukov et al. 2010), two different prepositions are employed to encode either inanimate GOALS (locative preposition *lē*, cf. (1)) or animate RECIPIENTS/BENEFICIARIES (dative preposition *mē*, cf. (2)) respectively. While all other PPs occur only in the clause periphery, dative PPs with a topical bare pronoun as complement may intervene between verb complex and object NP (cf. (3)). Where the complement is a focal pronominal NP, the dative PP nevertheless follows the object NP (4).

In the adnominal construction (cf. Malchukov et al. 2010; Margetts & Austin 2007), a possessive classifier is used to express an animate RECIPIENT/BENEFICIARY. A RECIPIENT/BENEFICIARY interpretation of the possessor is implied where a possessive NP functions as the object of verbs of transfer, obtaining or creation and a common possessor follows the possessed noun (cf. Margetts 2004, 2002) (cf. (5)). Where the possessor is pronominal, the possessive classifier expressing the RECIPIENT/BENEFICIARY may form a NP on its own preceding the possessed NP, hence occupying a position analogous to that of dative PPs (cf. (6)). In this case, the possessor is invariably interpreted as a RECIPIENT/BENEFICIARY rather than a possessor and hence we deal with a specific three-participant construction here.

A bare possessive classifier with a pronominal possessor also occurs within the verb complex, as in (7). Its predicate-internal position is evidenced by the lack of the article and by the position of the directional particle *ma* 'hither' that constitutes the right boundary of the verb complex if present (cf. (8)). Predicate-internal pronominal possessors parallel object pronouns expressing a THEME/PATIENT that also occur inside the verb complex (cf. (9) & (10)). Note that inanimate discourse participants are left implicit rather than being realised by a pronoun in such contexts.

To summarise, the constructional variation found in three-participant constructions in Vera'a is attributed to animacy (human – animal – inanimate) and referentiality / form of referential expression (Pro – proper name – common NP) (cf. Croft 2003; Comrie 1981): the marking of G arguments in indirective constructions (preposition choice) is determined by the animacy of its referent. Furthermore, the adnominal construction is only possible with animate G arguments. Pronominal animate G arguments are attracted by the verbal predicate and are thus realised in position that are typically occupied by animate P/T arguments. However, where pronominal G arguments are focal and hence take a demonstrative to form a pronominal NP they nevertheless follow the T argument. Hence, pragmatic roles also determine the position and the form of G arguments.

Examples:

- (1) *mom sur suwēn [ēn wōnir] [lē=n ma'a- wovinga]*
put downwards down ART leaf LOC=ART eye-coconut.shell

'... put a leaf down onto the opening of the shell.'

JJKP.008

- (2) *di ne le [=n sis] [mē =n tētē anē]*
3SG TAM give ART breast DAT =ART infant DEM

'She gave the breast to the baby [i.e. breastfed the baby].'

ASB.055

- (3) *no =k le [mē di] [=n buluk]*
1SG TAM give DAT 3SG =ART cattle

'I will give him a piece of cattle.'

1.NO.035

- (4) *di ne le [=n qe'e] [mē di anē]*
3SG TAM give =ART taro DAT 3SG DEM

'Then she gave a taro to him here (not him over there).'

ASMS.106

- (5) *di ne dada [=n gie mo 'uvusmēl]*
3SG TAM RED:make =ART kava CLF.POSS chief

'(Now) he is preparing kava for the chief.'

JJKT.001

- (6) *n=lumgav anē ne da [=n mo-gi]*
ART=young.man DEM TAM make =ART CLF.POSS-3SG

[=n gie]

=ART kava

'This young man will prepare kava for him.'

JJKT.049

- (7) *n=reñe anē [ne mōn go-ru] =n dom*
ART=woman DEM TAM roast CLF.POSS-3DL =ART yam

'Then this woman roasted yams for (the two of) them.'

ANV.050

- (8) *nik [e le go-k lik ma] =n 'ilise*
2SG TAM give CLF.POSS-1SG more hither =ART almonds

'Give me (some) more almonds!'

ISGG.032

- (9) *duru* [=m 'ō kal (eh) **gēdē** ma] lē =n
 3DL =TAM carry upwards IPL.INCL hither LOC =ART

vunu

village

'They brought us up here into the village.'

GMV.021

- (10) *n=lumgav* *anē di* [me van 'ō ma] =n
 ART=young.man DEM 3SG TAM go carry hither =ART

sava

what

'This young man, what will he bring?'

JJKT.020

References:

Comrie, Bernard. 1981. *Language universals and linguistic typology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Croft, William. 2003. *Typology and Universals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lichtenberk, Frantisek. 1983. Relational classifiers. *Lingua* 60., 147 - 176.

Malchukov, Andrej & Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie. 2010. Ditransitive constructions: a typological overview. In: Malchukov, Andrej & Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie (eds.). 2010. *Studies in Ditransitive Constructions: A Comparative Handbook*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton, 1-64.

Margetts, Anna. 2002. The linguistic encoding of three-participant events in Saliba. *Studies in Language* 26, 613-637.

Margetts, Anna. 2004. From Implicature to Construction: Emergence of a Benefactive Construction in Oceanic. *Oceanic Linguistics* 43.2., 445 - 468.

Margetts, Anna & Peter K. Austin. 2007. Three participant events in the languages of the world: towards a cross-linguistic typology. *Linguistics* 45.3: 393-451.